

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 08/17/2007 09:37
NAME : DAVID VICTOR
FAX : 3108397217
TEL : 3108397217
SER.# : 000C5J222854

DATE, TIME
FAX NO./NAME
DURATION
PAGE(S)
RESULT
MODE

08/17 09:36
15712733172
00:00:40
02
OK
STANDARD
ECM

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET**PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE
TO EXAMINER PHUC H. TRAN**

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Phuc H. Tran
Group Art Unit: 2616

FROM: David W. Victor
OUR REF: 0077.0031
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER: 1-571-273-3172

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted;

INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Applicant: D. GUPTA et al.
Serial No.: 10/695,376
Filed: October 27, 2003
Group Art Unit: 2616
Docket No.: 017110

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER PHUC H. TRAN

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Phuc H. Tran
Group Art Unit: 2616

FROM: David W. Victor
OUR REF: 0077.0031
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER: 1-571-273-3172

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted:

INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Applicant: D. GUPTA et al.
Serial No.: 10/695,376
Filed: October 27, 2003
Group Art Unit: 2616
Docket No.: P17142

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Examiner Phuc H. Tran of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on August 17, 2007.

/David Victor/
David W. Victor

Applicant Initiated Interview Request FormApplication No.: 10/695,376First Named Applicant: D. GUPTA et al.Examiner: Phuc H. TranArt Unit: 2616 Status of Application: After Non-Final**Tentative Participants:**(1) David W. Victor(2) Phuc H. Tran

(3) _____

(4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: August 20, 2007**Proposed Time:** 2:00 PM (EST)**Type of Interview Requested:**(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide a brief description: _____

Issues to be Discussed

| Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims/ Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) <u>Sec. 102</u> | <u>1, 2, 4</u> | <u>Kim</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:

With respect to claim 1, want to discuss why cited FIG. 6a of Kim does not disclose claim requirements of reading the generated at least one header and payload from the first and second queues and including the read at least one header and payload in the packet. Cited col. 6 of Kim discusses separate queues for payload and header of received packet. Kim mentions that the payload from the buffers is outputted in response to a payload transmission signal as part of a write. (col. 7, lines 51-58) Cited FIG. 6a concerns a priority encoder to control reading of the cells. Header signals are used to read the header. However, want to discuss lack of disclosure of claim requirement of reading header and payload from separate queues and then including in a packet. Further, no disclosure that operations performed as part of constructing a packet. Instead, the cited Kim discusses separate header and payload as part of write operation to process a cell input stream.

With respect to claim 2, want to discuss why cited col. 4 of Kim does not disclose transmitting the constructed packet to a target node. Cited col. 4 discusses receiving headers, but not transmitting packet constructed from headers and payloads in different queues as claimed.

May also want to discuss claim 4.

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

/David Victor/
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)